

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Lim for conducting an in-person interview with Applicant's attorney, Benjamin Keim, on February 10, 2009. Applicant's attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for his time and consideration.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney presented arguments clarifying the definition of computer readable storage media in light of Applicant's specification. Applicant's attorney understood the Examiner to agree to withdraw the §101 rejections.

Applicant's attorney and the Examiner discussed the claimed subject matter of the application and the Kimoto and Phelan references. In particular, Applicant's attorney presented arguments along the lines of those set forth below in the section entitled "Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §103 A.". Specifically, Applicant's attorney presented arguments how the Kimoto and Phelan references do not disclose, teach or suggest features, such as a response header including a valid zone specification. Applicant's attorney understands that the Examiner tentatively agrees that the proposed amendments discussed during the interview overcome the outstanding rejections based on Kimoto and Phelan.

Applicant's attorney submits the amendments in writing in this Response to the Office Action. Applicant's attorney respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance.